

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,451	06/25/2003	Chang Heui Hong	2060-3-51	4369	
35884 7590 12/20/2006 LEE, HONG, DEGERMAN, KANG & SCHMADEKA 801 S. FIGUEROA STREET			EXAMINER		
			WANG, JIN CHENG		
12TH FLOOR LOS ANGELE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
200			2628		
				,	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/603,451	HONG, CHANG HEUI		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jin-Cheng Wang	2628		

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Examino	Aitomi	
	Jin-Cheng Wang	2628	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	-	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	, .		Alan Inniuna fau
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beaution appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	, , ,	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See below</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33	` ''	C. A A d	(DTOL 004)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ant cancoling the
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable il submitted in a separate,	timely med amending	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,7-20,25-36 and 38-50</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	+Uh	
	SHPERMS	KEE M. TUNG	MAINIED

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of Item 3(a): The claim 1 recites the new claim limitation wherein interacting with the first and second direction keys causes the first image to change orientation such that the second image is same as the first image but displayed in a second orientation and adjusted in size to fit the display unit. The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search...